#### CIS6333

Homework 1 (due Friday, February 1)

1. (Propositional Calculus - 10 points)

Let P, Q, R range over state predicates of some program. Prove or disprove the following:

a)  $P \lor (P \land Q) \equiv P$ b)  $P \land (Q \lor R) \equiv (P \lor Q) \land (P \lor R)$ c)  $\neg (P \equiv Q) \equiv \neg P \equiv \neg Q$ d)  $P \equiv Q \equiv (P \lor Q) \equiv (P \land Q)$ 

2. (More Propositional Calculus - 6 points)

a) Prove ¬¬P ≡ P
b) Prove the identity of ∨, P∨false ≡ P, by transforming its more structured side into its simpler side.
c) Prove P ⇒ Q ≡ ¬P ∨ ¬Q ≡ ¬P

3. (Predicate Calculus - 10 points)

a) Prove  $(\forall x : R : P \equiv Q) \Rightarrow ((\forall x : R : P) \equiv (\forall x : R : Q))$ 

b) Prove  $\neg(\exists x : R : P) \equiv (\forall x : R : \neg P)$ 

c) Translate the following English statements into predicate logic:

(i) Every positive integer is smaller than the absolute value of some negative integer. (Use abs.i for the absolute value of i)

(ii) Real number i is the largest real solution of the equation  $f \cdot i = i + 1$ (iii) No integer is larger than all others.

d) Translate into English the meaning of :

(i)  $(\exists x, y : x \in R \land y \in R : (f \cdot x < 0 \land 0 < f \cdot y) \Rightarrow (\exists z : z \in Reals : f \cdot z = 0))$ 

(ii)  $(\forall z : z \in Integers \land even.z : (\forall w : w \in Integers \land odd.w : z \neq w))$ 

4. (Closure) -- 30 points

Let P and Q range over state predicates of a program *prog*. Recall that the statements of each action of *prog* are terminating. Recall that in class we defined:

closed P iff 
$$\{P\}$$
 prog  $\{P\}$ 

True or False? (Explain your answer.)

a) closed false b) closed true c) (closed P or closed Q) implies (closed  $(P \lor Q)$ ) d) (closed  $\neg P$ ) implies (closed P) e) (closed  $(P \lor Q)$ ) implies ( $\forall s :: \{P\} \ s \ \{Q\}$ ) f) (exists  $s :: \{P\} \ s \ \{false\}$ ) implies (closed  $\neg P$ ) g) closed  $(P \lor Q)$ implies ( $\forall s :: \{P\} \ s \ \{P \lor Q\}$ ) h) closed P and closed Q and  $(R \Rightarrow (P \land Q))$ implies closed R i) closed P and closed Q and closed R implies closed  $(P \land (Q \land R))$ 

# 5. (Leads-to) -- 24 points

Let P, Q, and R range over state predicates of a program prog.

True or False? (Explain your answer.)

a) false leads-to  $P \lor Q$ b) (P leads-to Q) implies (( $P \land Q$ ) leads-to Q) c) (P leads-to Q) implies (( $P \land R$ ) leads-to Q) d) ((P leads-to Q) and (P leads-to R)) implies (P leads-to ( $Q \land R$ )) e) (P leads-to Q) and (( $Q \lor R$ ) leads-to T) implies (( $P \lor R$ ) leads-to T) f) P leads-to Q and P leads-to R and closed R implies (P leads-to ( $Q \land R$ ))

6. (Variant functions) - 20 points

For each program described below, prove, by exhibiting a variant function, that the desired progress property holds, or show that the progress property does not hold. Assume the semantics of minimal progress: At every step in the computation, if some action is enabled, then some enabled action is executed. a) Let x.j be an integer for  $0 \le j < N$ . For each j in the range 0 < j < N, consider the program action:

 $x.j < x.(j-1) \rightarrow x.j, x.(j-1) := x.(j-1), x.j$ The progress property to be verified is: true leads-to  $(\forall j: 0 < j < N: x.j >= x.(j-1))$ 

b) Given are line segments L.1, L.2, ..., L.N in the X-Y plane (assume all 2N endpoints are unique) and a program that consists of one action for each pair (L.j, L.K) of line segments:

L.j and L.k intersect	>	swap any one endpoint of L.j
		with any one endpoint of L.k,
		thus making L.j and L.k nonintersecting

The progress property to be verified is: "the program eventually terminates"

### 7. (Verifying closure and leads-to)

-----

Consider the program TRANS over the boolean variables b, c, and d:

 $\begin{array}{ccc} b & \longrightarrow c := true \\ \| \\ b \wedge c \longrightarrow d := true \end{array}$ 

Are the following properties true in TRANS? (Explain your answer carefully. A formal proof is not necessary.)

(i) closed  $(\neg b \land c)$ (ii) closed  $(\neg c \land d)$ (iii) c leads-to d (iv) b leads-to d

Does the variant function

(3 - number of variables of TRANS that are true)suffice to verify the leads-to predicate in part (iii)? in part (iv)?

## 8. (Distributed load balancing)

Prove either that the desired liveness specification holds by exhibiting a variant function, or show that it does not hold.

Let x.j be an integer for each node j in an undirected graph. For each pair of neighboring nodes j and k in the graph, consider the program action:

(x.j - x.k) > 1 --> x.j, x.k := x.j - 1, x.k + 1

The liveness specification to be verified for this set of actions is:

## 9. (Verifying Hoare-triples)

Let m, n, and l be integers, and M and N be integer constants. Carefully prove or disprove the following Hoare-triples. (Formal proofs are not necessary, but are encouraged).

- (a)  $\{m = M\}$  $m < 0 \longrightarrow m := -m$  $\{m = |M|\}$
- $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(b)} & \{m > M\} \\ & m > n \longrightarrow m, n \ := \ n, m \\ & \{m \leq n\} \end{array}$

Here are two new rules about Hoare-triples:

### Rule of Sequential Assignment:

Let x and y be variables and E and F be expressions whose value are in the domain of x and y, respectively, and let P be a state predicate.

$$\{(P \ [y := F]) \ [x := E]\} \quad true \longrightarrow x := E \ ; \ y := F \quad \{P\}$$

### **Rule of Guards:**

Let *prog* be a program with two actions  $g1 \longrightarrow st1$  and  $g2 \longrightarrow st2$ , and let Q and R be state predicates of *prog*.

$$\begin{array}{ll} Q & \Rightarrow & g1 \lor g2 \ , \\ \{Q\} & g1 \longrightarrow st1 \ \{R\} \ , \\ \{Q\} & g2 \longrightarrow st2 \ \{R\} \end{array}$$

implies

$$\{Q\} prog \{R\}$$

Prove or disprove the following Hoare-triples:

(c) 
$$\{ \substack{m=M \land n=N \\ true \longrightarrow n := n+m ; m := n-m ; n := n-m \\ \{ \substack{m=N \land n=M \} } \}$$

$$(\mathbf{d}) \quad \{true\} \quad l \leq m \longrightarrow n := m \quad [ \quad m \leq l \longrightarrow n := l \quad \{n = max(l,m)\}$$