

# Fourier Transforms

①

Idea. Given the coefficients  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}$  and  $q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}$  of two polynomials  $p$  &  $q$ .

Step 1. (Evaluate) Compute ordered pair of point-value representations

$$\{(x_i, p(x_i)) \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1\} \text{ and } \{(x_i, q(x_i)) \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1\}$$

Step 2. (Pointwise Multiply) Compute

$$y_i = p(x_i)q(x_i) \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1.$$

Step 3. (Interpolate) compute

$$r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{2^n - 1} \text{ s.t.}$$

$$y_i = r(x_i) \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$$

The key to making this scheme efficient is to find suitable  $x_i$ s so that interpolation and evaluation becomes efficiently executable.

# Complex Numbers.

$i = \sqrt{-1}$  is the imaginary unit

$a + ib$  is a complex number. where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$

Exponential representation of

$a + ib: |A|e^{i\alpha}$  where  $|A| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$   
 $\alpha = \cos^{-1} \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}$   
 $= \tan^{-1} \frac{b}{a}$

## Roots of unity:

$\omega^n = 1$ ,  $\omega$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  root of 1.

$\omega_n = e^{i \frac{2\pi}{n}}$  is the principle  $n^{\text{th}}$  root of 1.

$\omega_n^0, \omega_n^1 = \omega_n, \omega_n^2, \omega_n^3, \dots, \omega_n^{n-1}$

are all  $n$  roots of 1.

### Properties of Roots of unity:

Roots of unity will be used as values  $x_i$  in the FFT algorithm. We therefore need some of their properties.

1.  $\omega_{dn}^{dk} = \omega_n^k$  because  $\omega_{dn}^{dk} = e^{i \frac{2\pi dk}{dn}} = e^{i \frac{2\pi k}{n}} = \omega_n^k$
2.  $\omega_n^{n/2} = \omega_2 = -1$ , for even  $n$
3.  $(\omega_n^k)^2 = \omega_{n/2}^k$ , for even  $n$
4.  $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\omega_n^k)^j = 0$ , for  $n \geq 1$  and  $k \geq 1$  not divisible by  $n$ .

Proof(4):

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_n^k \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\omega_n^k)^j - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\omega_n^k)^j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (\omega_n^k)^j - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\omega_n^k)^j \\ &= (\omega_n^k)^n - (\omega_n^k)^0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\omega_n^k)^j = \frac{0}{\omega_n^k - 1} = 0$$

Since  $\omega_n^k \neq 1$  for  $k$  is not divisible by  $n$ .

# Discrete Fourier Transform.

(4)

A degree bound of a polynomial is an integer that exceeds its degree.

Step 1 of the general algorithm evaluates  $p(x)$  at  $n$  different values of  $x$ , and we choose  $x_j = \omega_n^j$  for  $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$

$$p(x) = p_0 + p_1 x + p_2 x^2 + \dots + p_{n-1} x^{n-1}$$

- Define  $(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$  so that

$$y_k = p(\omega_n^k) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} p_j \omega_n^{kj}$$

- The vector  $y = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$  is the Discrete Fourier Transform of

$$p = (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1})$$

$$y = \text{DFT}(p).$$

- The FFT is an algorithm to compute DFT quickly.

- We will see that same algorithm can be used for interpolation  $\text{DFT}^{-1}$  which is necessary for step 3.

## Implementation of Complex Numbers.

type Complex = record re, im: real end;

function Product (A, B: Complex): Complex;

C.re := A.re \* B.re - A.im \* B.im;

C.im := A.re \* B.im + A.im \* B.re;

return C.

Similarly, one can write addition, subtraction and division of complex numbers.

## Fast Fourier Transform (FFT).

Similar to  $n^{1.58}$  time algorithm, the FFT uses divide-and-conquer, but in a different way. Assume  $n = 2^k$ .

$$p^{[0]}(x) = p_0 + p_2x + p_4x^2 + \dots + p_{n-2}x^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$$

$$p^{[1]}(x) = p_1 + p_3x + p_5x^2 + \dots + p_{n-1}x^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$$

So,  $p(x) = p^{[0]}(x^2) + x \cdot p^{[1]}(x^2)$ .

In order to evaluate  $p(x)$  at the  $n$   $n$ th roots of unity, we just combine the evaluated values of  $p^{[0]}(x)$  and  $p^{[1]}(x)$  at these roots.

Suppose we have

$$y^{[0]} = \text{DFT}(p^{[0]}) \text{ and } y^{[1]} = \text{DFT}(p^{[1]})$$

This means that

$$y_k^{[0]} = p^{[0]}(\omega_{n/2}^k), \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq \frac{n-2}{2}, \text{ and}$$

$$y_k^{[1]} = p^{[1]}(\omega_{n/2}^k), \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq \frac{n-2}{2}.$$

We can now compute  $y = \text{DFT}(p)$  as:

For  $0 \leq k \leq \frac{n-2}{2}$ ,

$$y_k = p(\omega_n^k) = p^{[0]}(\omega_n^{2k}) + \omega_n^k p^{[1]}(\omega_n^{2k}).$$

$$= y_k^{[0]} + \omega_n^k y_k^{[1]}$$

$$y_{\frac{n}{2}+k} = p(\omega_n^{\frac{n}{2}+k})$$

$$= p^{[0]}(\omega_n^{n+2k}) + \omega_n^{\frac{n}{2}+k} p^{[1]}(\omega_n^{n+2k})$$

$$= p^{[0]}(\omega_n^{2k}) - \omega_n^k p^{[1]}(\omega_n^{2k})$$

$$= y_k^{[0]} - \omega_n^k y_k^{[1]}$$

function FFT (p: polynomial) : point-values;

n := n(p); if n = 1 then return p

else

p<sup>[0]</sup> := (p<sub>0</sub>, p<sub>2</sub>, ..., p<sub>n-2</sub>);

p<sup>[1]</sup> := (p<sub>1</sub>, p<sub>3</sub>, ..., p<sub>n-1</sub>);

y<sup>[0]</sup> := FFT(p<sup>[0]</sup>);

y<sup>[1]</sup> := FFT(p<sup>[1]</sup>);

... line 1 ...  $w := 1$ ;  $w_n = e^{i \frac{2\pi}{n}}$ ;

for k := 0 to  $\frac{n-2}{2}$  do

$y_k := y_k^{[0]} + w y_k^{[1]}$

$y_{\frac{n}{2}+k} := y_k^{[0]} - w y_k^{[1]}$ ;

... line 2 ...  $w := w \cdot w_n$

endfor

return y

endif

$T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + O(n)$

$= O(n \log n)$ .

⑧

The degree bound  $n$ , used in function FFT must be at least twice the degree + 1 because in step 3 of the general algorithm we need that many point-value pairs to do the interpolation.

After calling  $\text{FFT}(p)$  and  $\text{FFT}(q)$  we get a point-value representation of  $r(x) = p(x)q(x)$  by multiplying the corresponding point-values:

$$y_j = p(\omega_n^j) \cdot q(\omega_n^j)$$

which takes only  $O(n)$  time.



## Inverse DFT

9

The last step of the general approach, step 3, consists of interpolating  $n$  point-value pairs at the  $n$   $n$ th roots of unity. Recall that DFT can be written as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \omega_n & \omega_n^2 & \omega_n^4 & \dots & \omega_n^{n-1} \\ \omega_n^2 & \omega_n^4 & \omega_n^8 & \dots & \omega_n^{2(n-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \omega_n^{n-1} & \omega_n^{2(n-1)} & \omega_n^{(n-1)} & \dots & \omega_n^{(n-1)(n-1)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

because  $x_i = \omega_n^i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ . In order to compute  $\text{DFT}^{-1}(y)$  we multiply with the inverse matrix,  $V_n^{-1}$ .

Claim

$$V_n^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \omega_n^{-1} & \omega_n^{-2} & \omega_n^{-4} & \dots & \omega_n^{-(n-1)} \\ \omega_n^{-2} & \omega_n^{-4} & \omega_n^{-8} & \dots & \omega_n^{-2(n-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \omega_n^{-(n-1)} & \omega_n^{-2(n-1)} & \omega_n^{-(n-1)} & \dots & \omega_n^{-(n-1)(n-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof. We need to show that  $V_n^{-1}$  multiplied with  $V_n$  gives the unit matrix.

$$V_n^{-1} \cdot V_n = \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \omega_n^{-jk} \cdot \omega_n^{jl} \right) \begin{matrix} k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \\ l = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \end{matrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \omega_n^{j(l-k)} \right) \begin{matrix} k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \\ l = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \end{matrix}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } l \neq k \\ 1 & \text{if } l = k \end{cases}$$

So,  $r = \text{DFT}^{-1}(y)$  is given by

$$r_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \omega_n^{-jk} y_j$$

Compare it:  $y_k = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \omega_n^{jk} p_j$

The similarity between the two formulas allows us to reuse FFT algorithm for performing the interpolation:

We have:

(11)

$$y(x) = y_0 + y_1 x + \dots + y_{n-1} x^{n-1}$$

$$y^{[0]}(x) = y_0 + y_2 x + y_4 x^2 + \dots + y_{n-2} x^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$$

$$y^{[1]}(x) = y_1 + y_3 x + y_5 x^2 + \dots + y_{n-1} x^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$$

$$\text{So, } y(x) = y^{[0]}(x^2) + x \cdot y^{[1]}(x^2)$$

So, we compute recursively

$$r^{[0]} = \text{DFT}^{-1}(y^{[0]}) \text{ and } r^{[1]} = \text{DFT}^{-1}(y^{[1]})$$

This means

$$nr^{[0]} = y^{[0]}(\omega_{n/2}^{-k}) \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq \frac{n-2}{2}, \text{ and}$$

$$nr^{[1]} = y^{[1]}(\omega_{n/2}^{-k}) \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq \frac{n-2}{2}.$$

So, we can compute  $r = \text{DFT}^{-1}(y)$ :

For  $0 \leq k \leq \frac{n-2}{2}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} nr_k &= y(\omega_n^{-k}) = y^{[0]}(\omega_n^{-2k}) + \omega_n^{-k} y^{[1]}(\omega_n^{-2k}) \\ &= nr_k^{[0]} + \omega_n^{-k} nr_k^{[1]} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} nr_{\frac{n}{2}+k} &= y(\omega_n^{-(\frac{n}{2}+k)}) = y^{[0]}(\omega_n^{-2k}) + \omega_n^{-(\frac{n}{2}+k)} y^{[1]}(\omega_n^{-2k}) \\ &= nr_k^{[0]} - \omega_n^{-k} nr_k^{[1]} \end{aligned}$$

From the previous page,

(12)

$$nr_k = nr_k^{[0]} + \omega_n^{-k} n r_k^{[1]}$$

$$r_k = r_k^{[0]} + \omega_n^{-k} r_k^{[1]}$$

$$nr_{\frac{n}{2}+k} = nr_k^{[0]} - \omega_n^{-k} n r_k^{[1]}$$

$$r_{\frac{n}{2}+k} = r_k^{[0]} - \omega_n^{-k} r_k^{[1]}$$

So, we can compute  $r$  by  $r := \frac{1}{n} \text{FFT}^{-1}(y)$  where  $\text{FFT}^{-1}$  differs from  $\text{FFT}$  only at 2 places:

in line (1):  $\omega_n = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{n}} \rightarrow \omega_n^{-1} := e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{n}}$

in line (2):  $\omega := \omega \cdot \omega_n \rightarrow \omega := \omega \cdot \omega_n^{-1}$

We conclude that both DFT and  $\text{DFT}^{-1}$  can be computed in  $O(n \log n)$  time.

Summary: Let  $p(x)$  and  $q(x)$  be two polynomials with degree bound  $n = 2^k$ . Then,

$r = \text{DFT}_{2n}^{-1}(\text{DFT}_{2n}(p), \text{DFT}_{2n}(q))$  is such that  $r(x) = p(x)q(x)$  and it can be computed in  ~~$O(n \log n)$~~   $O(n \log n)$  time.